



Williamson County Multi-Hazard Mitigation Plan
Mitigation Strategies Exercise
Return to kelseybowe@greateregypt.org when completed



Name(s): _____

Title: _____

Jurisdiction: _____

Date: _____

Time spent on exercise: _____

The purpose of this worksheet is to develop effective mitigation strategies that reduce or eliminate long- term risk to life and property from a hazard event.

Each jurisdiction must come up with at least two mitigation strategies for each hazard identified for that jurisdiction.

A list of potential mitigation strategies is provided (see secondary attachment) to assist with the mitigation strategy selection process. This is not an exhaustive list. As such, you are also encouraged to develop mitigation strategies applicable to your region. Each strategy should include a priority ranking, responsible/coordinating agency, and comments.

Example Mitigation Strategy: Hazard- Flooding

Mitigation Strategy: *Institute a buy-out plan for repetitive loss properties*

Check One: ☒ Proposed ☐ Ongoing
Priority Ranking: ☐ High ☒ Medium ☐ Low
Funding Source: ☒ Local ☐ State ☒ Federal ☐ Private
Responsible & Coordinating Agencies: *Franklin County EMA*

Comments: Franklin County will apply for FEMA HMA funds to acquire repetitive loss properties. The properties will be demolished and the land will be deed-restricted to remain as open space. The non-federal share of the grant will be sought from local funds.

Tornado/derecho

Mitigation Strategy:

Status

☐ Proposed

☐ Ongoing

Priority Ranking

☐ High

☐ Medium

☐ Low

Funding Source

☐ Local

☐ State

☐ Federal

☐ Private

Responsible/coordinating agencies: _____

Comments:

Tornado/derecho

Mitigation Strategy:

Status

☐ Proposed

☐ Ongoing

Priority Ranking

☐ High

☐ Medium

☐ Low

Funding Source

☐ Local

☐ State

☐ Federal

☐ Private

Responsible/coordinating agencies: _____

Comments:

Earthquake

Mitigation Strategy:

Status

☐ Proposed

☐ Ongoing

Priority Ranking

☐ High

☐ Medium

☐ Low

Funding Source

☐ Local

☐ State

☐ Federal

☐ Private

Responsible/coordinating agencies: _____

Comments:

Earthquake

Mitigation Strategy:

Status

☐ Proposed

☐ Ongoing

Priority Ranking

☐ High

☐ Medium

☐ Low

Funding Source

☐ Local

☐ State

☐ Federal

☐ Private

Responsible/coordinating agencies: _____

Comments:

Disease outbreak/ epidemic

Mitigation Strategy:

Status

☐ Proposed

☐ Ongoing

Priority Ranking

☐ High

☐ Medium

☐ Low

Funding Source

☐ Local

☐ State

☐ Federal

☐ Private

Responsible/coordinating agencies: _____

Comments:

Disease outbreak/ epidemic

Mitigation Strategy:

Status

☐ Proposed

☐ Ongoing

Priority Ranking

☐ High

☐ Medium

☐ Low

Funding Source

☐ Local

☐ State

☐ Federal

☐ Private

Responsible/coordinating agencies: _____

Comments:

HazMat Release

Mitigation Strategy:

Status

☐ Proposed

☐ Ongoing

Priority Ranking

☐ High

☐ Medium

☐ Low

Funding Source

☐ Local

☐ State

☐ Federal

☐ Private

Responsible/coordinating agencies: _____

Comments:

HazMat Release

Mitigation Strategy:

Status

☐ Proposed

☐ Ongoing

Priority Ranking

☐ High

☐ Medium

☐ Low

Funding Source

☐ Local

☐ State

☐ Federal

☐ Private

Responsible/coordinating agencies: _____

Comments:

Ground Failure (mine subsidence)

Mitigation Strategy:

Status

☐ Proposed

☐ Ongoing

Priority Ranking

☐ High

☐ Medium

☐ Low

Funding Source

☐ Local

☐ State

☐ Federal

☐ Private

Responsible/coordinating agencies: _____

Comments:

Ground Failure (mine subsidence)

Mitigation Strategy:

Status

☐ Proposed

☐ Ongoing

Priority Ranking

☐ High

☐ Medium

☐ Low

Funding Source

☐ Local

☐ State

☐ Federal

☐ Private

Responsible/coordinating agencies: _____

Comments:

Severe thunderstorms & microbursts

Mitigation Strategy:

Status

☐ Proposed

☐ Ongoing

Priority Ranking

☐ High

☐ Medium

☐ Low

Funding Source

☐ Local

☐ State

☐ Federal

☐ Private

Responsible/coordinating agencies: _____

Comments:

Severe thunderstorms & microbursts

Mitigation Strategy:

Status

☐ Proposed

☐ Ongoing

Priority Ranking

☐ High

☐ Medium

☐ Low

Funding Source

☐ Local

☐ State

☐ Federal

☐ Private

Responsible/coordinating agencies: _____

Comments:

Severe winter weather

Mitigation Strategy:

Status

☐ Proposed

☐ Ongoing

Priority Ranking

☐ High

☐ Medium

☐ Low

Funding Source

☐ Local

☐ State

☐ Federal

☐ Private

Responsible/coordinating agencies: _____

Comments:

Severe winter weather

Mitigation Strategy:

Status

☐ Proposed

☐ Ongoing

Priority Ranking

☐ High

☐ Medium

☐ Low

Funding Source

☐ Local

☐ State

☐ Federal

☐ Private

Responsible/coordinating agencies: _____

Comments:

Flooding

Mitigation Strategy:

Status

☐ Proposed

☐ Ongoing

Priority Ranking

☐ High

☐ Medium

☐ Low

Funding Source

☐ Local

☐ State

☐ Federal

☐ Private

Responsible/coordinating agencies: _____

Comments:

Flooding

Mitigation Strategy:

Status

☐ Proposed

☐ Ongoing

Priority Ranking

☐ High

☐ Medium

☐ Low

Funding Source

☐ Local

☐ State

☐ Federal

☐ Private

Responsible/coordinating agencies: _____

Comments:

Drought & extreme heat

Mitigation Strategy:

Status

☐ Proposed

☐ Ongoing

Priority Ranking

☐ High

☐ Medium

☐ Low

Funding Source

☐ Local

☐ State

☐ Federal

☐ Private

Responsible/coordinating agencies: _____

Comments:

Drought & extreme heat

Mitigation Strategy:

Status

☐ Proposed

☐ Ongoing

Priority Ranking

☐ High

☐ Medium

☐ Low

Funding Source

☐ Local

☐ State

☐ Federal

☐ Private

Responsible/coordinating agencies: _____

Comments:

Dam failure

Mitigation Strategy:

Status

☐ Proposed

☐ Ongoing

Priority Ranking

☐ High

☐ Medium

☐ Low

Funding Source

☐ Local

☐ State

☐ Federal

☐ Private

Responsible/coordinating agencies: _____

Comments:

Dam failure

Mitigation Strategy:

Status

☐ Proposed

☐ Ongoing

Priority Ranking

☐ High

☐ Medium

☐ Low

Funding Source

☐ Local

☐ State

☐ Federal

☐ Private

Responsible/coordinating agencies: _____

Comments:

Terrorism

Mitigation Strategy:

Status

☐ Proposed

☐ Ongoing

Priority Ranking

☐ High

☐ Medium

☐ Low

Funding Source

☐ Local

☐ State

☐ Federal

☐ Private

Responsible/coordinating agencies: _____

Comments:

Terrorism

Mitigation Strategy:

Status

☐ Proposed

☐ Ongoing

Priority Ranking

☐ High

☐ Medium

☐ Low

Funding Source

☐ Local

☐ State

☐ Federal

☐ Private

Responsible/coordinating agencies: _____

Comments:

Cyberattack

Mitigation Strategy:

Status

☐ Proposed

☐ Ongoing

Priority Ranking

☐ High

☐ Medium

☐ Low

Funding Source

☐ Local

☐ State

☐ Federal

☐ Private

Responsible/coordinating agencies: _____

Comments:

Cyberattack

Mitigation Strategy:

Status

☐ Proposed

☐ Ongoing

Priority Ranking

☐ High

☐ Medium

☐ Low

Funding Source

☐ Local

☐ State

☐ Federal

☐ Private

Responsible/coordinating agencies: _____

Comments:

Power outage/ utility disruption

Mitigation Strategy:

Status

☐ Proposed

☐ Ongoing

Priority Ranking

☐ High

☐ Medium

☐ Low

Funding Source

☐ Local

☐ State

☐ Federal

☐ Private

Responsible/coordinating agencies: _____

Comments:

Power outage/ utility disruption

Mitigation Strategy:

Status

☐ Proposed

☐ Ongoing

Priority Ranking

☐ High

☐ Medium

☐ Low

Funding Source

☐ Local

☐ State

☐ Federal

☐ Private

Responsible/coordinating agencies: _____

Comments:

Wildfire

Mitigation Strategy:

Status

☐ Proposed

☐ Ongoing

Priority Ranking

☐ High

☐ Medium

☐ Low

Funding Source

☐ Local

☐ State

☐ Federal

☐ Private

Responsible/coordinating agencies: _____

Comments:

Wildfire

Mitigation Strategy:

Status

☐ Proposed

☐ Ongoing

Priority Ranking

☐ High

☐ Medium

☐ Low

Funding Source

☐ Local

☐ State

☐ Federal

☐ Private

Responsible/coordinating agencies: _____

Comments:

Meteor

Mitigation Strategy:

Status

☐ Proposed

☐ Ongoing

Priority Ranking

☐ High

☐ Medium

☐ Low

Funding Source

☐ Local

☐ State

☐ Federal

☐ Private

Responsible/coordinating agencies: _____

Comments:

Meteor

Mitigation Strategy:

Status

☐ Proposed

☐ Ongoing

Priority Ranking

☐ High

☐ Medium

☐ Low

Funding Source

☐ Local

☐ State

☐ Federal

☐ Private

Responsible/coordinating agencies: _____

Comments:

Landslide

Mitigation Strategy:

Status

☐ Proposed

☐ Ongoing

Priority Ranking

☐ High

☐ Medium

☐ Low

Funding Source

☐ Local

☐ State

☐ Federal

☐ Private

Responsible/coordinating agencies: _____

Comments:

Landslide

Mitigation Strategy:

Status

☐ Proposed

☐ Ongoing

Priority Ranking

☐ High

☐ Medium

☐ Low

Funding Source

☐ Local

☐ State

☐ Federal

☐ Private

Responsible/coordinating agencies: _____

Comments:

Infestations/ invasive species

Mitigation Strategy:

Status

☐ Proposed

☐ Ongoing

Priority Ranking

☐ High

☐ Medium

☐ Low

Funding Source

☐ Local

☐ State

☐ Federal

☐ Private

Responsible/coordinating agencies: _____

Comments:

Infestations/ invasive species

Mitigation Strategy:

Status

☐ Proposed

☐ Ongoing

Priority Ranking

☐ High

☐ Medium

☐ Low

Funding Source

☐ Local

☐ State

☐ Federal

☐ Private

Responsible/coordinating agencies: _____

Comments:

Other hazard: _____

Mitigation Strategy:

Status

☐ Proposed

☐ Ongoing

Priority Ranking

☐ High

☐ Medium

☐ Low

Funding Source

☐ Local

☐ State

☐ Federal

☐ Private

Responsible/coordinating agencies: _____

Comments:

Other hazard: _____

Mitigation Strategy:

Status

☐ Proposed

☐ Ongoing

Priority Ranking

☐ High

☐ Medium

☐ Low

Funding Source

☐ Local

☐ State

☐ Federal

☐ Private

Responsible/coordinating agencies: _____

Comments:

Other hazard: _____

Mitigation Strategy:

Status

☐ Proposed

☐ Ongoing

Priority Ranking

☐ High

☐ Medium

☐ Low

Funding Source

☐ Local

☐ State

☐ Federal

☐ Private

Responsible/coordinating agencies: _____

Comments:

Other hazard: _____

Mitigation Strategy:

Status

☐ Proposed

☐ Ongoing

Priority Ranking

☐ High

☐ Medium

☐ Low

Funding Source

☐ Local

☐ State

☐ Federal

☐ Private

Responsible/coordinating agencies: _____

Comments: