

Jefferson County Multi-Hazard Mitigation Plan **Mitigation Strategies Exercise**





Return to							
$R \Delta T I I r n T \Delta$		$n \cap (\lambda) \cap (d) \sigma$	rostori	$\alpha \sigma v n \tau \alpha$	$r\sigma w n$	an com	niatar
	NCISCV	ມບາາວເພື່ອ	realer				טוכנכנ
					- 6		

Name(s):
Title:
Jurisdiction:
Date:
Time spent on exercise:

The purpose of this worksheet is to develop effective mitigation strategies that reduce or eliminate long- term risk to life and property from a hazard event.

Each jurisdiction must come up with at least two mitigation strategies for each hazard identified for that jurisdiction.

A list of potential mitigation strategies is provided (see secondary attachment) to assist with the mitigation strategy selection process. This is not an exhaustive list. As such, you are also encouraged to develop mitigation strategies applicable to your region. Each strategy should include a priority ranking, responsible/coordinating agency, and comments.

Example Mitigation Strategy: Hazard- Mitigation Strategy: Institute a buy-out p	22	titive loss pro	operties	
Check One: Priority Ranking:	✓ Proposed □ High	□ Ongoing ✓ Medium	Low	
Funding Source: Responsible & Coordinating Agencies:				Private
Comments: Franklin County will apply for FEMA HN properties. The properties will be demolished and th non-federal share of the grant will be sought from l	he land will be	A second s		s open space. T

Tornado/derecho

Mitigation Strategy:				
Status			2	
Status		-	-	
Priority Ranking	🗌 High	🗌 Medium	🗆 Low	
Funding Source	🗌 Local	🗆 State 🛛 🛛 F	ederal	Private
Responsible/coordinating agenci	es:			
Comments:				

Tornado/derecho

Mitigation Strategy:			
Status	Propose	ed 🗌 Ongoing	
Priority Ranking	□ High	🗆 Medium 👘 🗆 Low	1
Funding Source		□ State □ Federal	Private
Responsible/coordinating ag	gencies:		
Comments:			

Earthquake

Mitigation Strategy:		
Status	Proposed Ongoing	
Priority Ranking	□ High □ Medium □ Low	
Funding Source	□ Local □ State □ Federal	Private
Responsible/coordinating ag	encies:	
Comments:		

Earthquake

Mitigation Strategy:				
Status	Proposed		Ongoing	
Priority Ranking	🗌 High	🗌 Medium	n ∐ Low	
Funding Source	🗆 Local	□ State	Federal	Private
Responsible/coordinating agencies:				
Comments:				

Disease outbreak/ epidemic

Mitigation Strategy:		
Status	Proposed Ongoing	
Priority Ranking	🗌 High 🔤 Medium 🗌 Low	
Funding Source	Local State Federal	Private
Responsible/coordinating agen	cies:	
Comments:		

Disease outbreak/ epidemic

Mitigation Strategy:				
Status Priority Ranking	ProposeHigh	d 🗌 Oi 🗌 Medium	ngoing	
Funding Source			☐ Low	Private
Responsible/coordinating agencies: _				
Comments:				

HazMat Release

Mitigation Strategy:			
Status	Proposed	d 🗌 Ongoing	g
Priority Ranking	🗌 High	Medium	🗆 Low
Funding Source	🗆 Local	State Fe	deral 🗌 Private
Responsible/coordinating agencies	:		
Comments:]
comments.			

HazMat Release

Mitigation Strategy:			
Status Priority Ranking Funding Source	ProposedHighLocal	d 🗆 Ongoing 🗆 Medium 🗆 Low 🗆 State 🔲 Federal	Private
Responsible/coordinating agencies:			
Comments:			

Ground Failure (mine subsidence)

Mitigation Strategy:				
Status	Proposed		g	
Priority Ranking	🗌 High	□ Medium	□ Low	
Funding Source	🗆 Local	□ State □ Fe	ederal 🗌	Private
Responsible/coordinating agencies	::			
Comments:				

Ground Failure (mine subsidence)

Mitigation Strategy:			
Status	Proposed	🗌 Ongoir	ıg
Priority Ranking	🗌 High	□ Medium	□ Low
Funding Source	🗆 Local	State Fe	ederal 🗌 Private
Responsible/coordinating ager	ncies:		
Comments:			

Severe thunderstorms

Mitigation Strategy:			
l			
Status	Propose	ed 🗌 Ongoing	
Priority Ranking	🗌 High	🗆 Medium 🗌 Lov	N
Funding Source	🗆 Local	□ State □ Federal	Private
Responsible/coordinating ag	encies:		
Comments:			

Severe thunderstorms

Mitigation Strategy:	
Status Priority Ranking Funding Source	 Proposed Ongoing High Medium Low Local State Federal Private
Responsible/coordinating agencies:	:
Comments:	

Severe winter weather

Mitigation Stra	tegy:				
Status Priority Ranking Funding Source		ProposedHighLocal	□ Medium	Dngoing Low Federal	Private
Responsible/coordin	ating agencies:				
Comments:					

Severe winter weather

Mitigation Strategy:				
Status	Proposed		going	
Priority Ranking	High Local	□ Medium □ State □	Low Low	Private
Funding Source			Federal	
Responsible/coordinating agencies:				
Comments:				

Flooding

Mitigation Strategy:			
Status	Proposed		
Priority Ranking	□ High □		
Funding Source	□ Local □	State 🗌 Federal	Private
Responsible/coordinating age	ncies:		
Comments:			

Flooding

Mitigation Strategy:				
Status	Proposed	l 🗌 Ongoi	ng	
Priority Ranking	🗌 High	□ Medium	🗆 Low	
Funding Source		□ State □ F	ederal	Private
Responsible/coordinating agencies	::			
Comments:				

Drought & extreme heat

Mitigation	Strategy:					
Status Priority Rankir	ng		Proposed High	□ □ Medium	Ongoing	
Funding Sourc	e	[Local	□ State	Federal	Private
Responsible/c	oordinating age	encies:				
Comments:						

Drought & extreme heat

Mitigation Strategy:	
L Status Priority Ranking Funding Source	 Proposed Ongoing High Medium Local State Federal Private
Responsible/coordinating age	ncies:
Comments:	

Dam failure

Mitigation Strategy:		
Status	Proposed Ongoing	
Priority Ranking	□ High □ Medium □ Low	
Funding Source	□ Local □ State □ Federal	Private
Responsible/coordinating age	encies:	
Comments:		

Dam failure

Mitigation Strategy:			
Status Priority Ranking	Propose High	ed 🗌 Ongoing	,
Funding Source		\Box State \Box Federal	Private
Responsible/coordinating agencies:			
Comments:			

Terrorism

Mitigation Strategy:		
Status	Proposed Ongoing	
Priority Ranking	🗆 High 🛛 Medium 🗌 Low	
Funding Source	🗆 Local 🔤 State 🗆 Federal	Private
Responsible/coordinating ag	encies:	
Comments:		

Terrorism

Mitigation Strategy:			
Status	Propose	ed 🗌 Ongoing	
Priority Ranking	□ High	🗆 Medium 👘 🗆 Low	1
Funding Source		□ State □ Federal	Private
Responsible/coordinating ag	gencies:		
Comments:			

Cyberattack

Mitigation Strategy:			
	_	_	
Status	Proposed	Ongoing	
Priority Ranking	🗆 High	Medium	🗆 Low
Funding Source	🗆 Local	🗆 State 🛛 🗆 Fed	eral 🗌 Private
C C			
Responsible/coordinating agend	cies:		
Comments:			

Cyberattack

Mitigation Strategy:			
Status		d 🗌 Ongoing	
Priority Ranking	🗌 High	🗌 Medium 🗌 Low	
Funding Source	🗆 Local	□ State □ Federal	Private
Responsible/coordinating ag	encies:		
Comments:			

Power outage/ utility disruption

Mitigation Strategy:		
Status Priority Ranking Funding Source	 Proposed Ongoing High Medium Local State Federal 	Private
Responsible/coordinating a	gencies:	
Comments:		

Power outage/ utility disruption

Mitigation Strategy:	
Status Priority Ranking Funding Source	 Proposed Ongoing High Medium Low Local State Federal Private
Responsible/coordinating agencies:	
Comments:	

Wildfire

Mitigation Strategy:					
Status		Proposed		Ongoing	
Priority Ranking		High	🗌 Medium	□ Low	
Funding Source	Γ	Local	□ State	Federal	Private
Responsible/coordinating ag	encies:				
Comments:					
comments.					

Wildfire

Mitigation Strategy:			
Status Priority Ranking	ProposedHigh	d □ Ongoing □ Medium □] Low
Funding Source		□ State □ Feder	
Responsible/coordinating agencies:			
Comments:			

Meteor

Mitigation Strategy:					
Status		Proposed		Ongoing	
Priority Ranking	[🗌 High	🗌 Mediun	n 🗆 Low	
Funding Source		Local	🗆 State	Federal	Private
Responsible/coordinating ag	gencies:				
Comments:]
comments.					

Meteor

Mitigation Strategy:			
Status	Proposed		
Priority Ranking	🗌 High	Medium Lov	
Funding Source	🗌 Local	🗆 State 🛛 🗌 Federal	Private
Responsible/coordinating agencies	:		
Comments:			

Landslide

Mitigation Strategy:				
Status	Proposed	-	ng	
Priority Ranking	🗌 High	Medium	🗆 Low	
Funding Source	🗆 Local	🗆 State 🛛 🛛 F	ederal	Private
Decreasible (seardinating agancia				
Responsible/coordinating agencie	5			
Comments:				

Landslide

Mitigation Strategy:			
_			
Status	🗌 Propo	osed 🗌 Ongoing	
Priority Ranking	🗆 High	🗆 Medium 🛛 Lov	V
Funding Source	🗌 Local	□ State □ Federal	Private
Responsible/coordinating ag	gencies:		
Comments:			

Infestations/ invasive species

Mitigation Strategy:				
Status	Proposed	i 🗌 Ong	going	
Priority Ranking	🗌 High	🗌 Medium	🗆 Low	
Funding Source	🗆 Local	□ State □	Federal	Private
Responsible/coordinating agencies: _				
Comments:				

Infestations/ invasive species

Mitigation Strategy:			
l			
Status	Proposed		
Priority Ranking	🗌 High	□ Medium □ Low	
Funding Source	🗆 Local	State Federal	Private
Deen ancible / ac andinating ac			
Responsible/coordinating ag	gencies:		
Comments:			

Other hazard: _____

<u>Mitigation Strategy</u> :		
Status Priority Ranking	□ Proposed □ Ongoing □ High □ Medium □ Low	
Funding Source	□ Local □ State □ Federal	Private
Responsible/coordinating ag	encies:	
Comments:		

Other hazard: _____

Mitigation Strategy:				
Status	Proposed	I 🗌 Ongoin	g	
Priority Ranking	🗌 High	Medium	🗆 Low	
Funding Source	🗌 Local	🗆 State 🛛 Fe	ederal 🗌	Private
Responsible/coordinating agencies	::			
Comments:				