Kinkaid Creek Watershed-based Plan Planning Committee Meeting 1

> February 18, 2021 10:00 AM





Watershed-based Plan



<u>Agenda</u>

- I. Welcome and Introductions
- II. Review of Initial Stakeholders Meeting
- III. Nine Elements of a Watershed-based Plan
- IV. Synopsis of the Kinkaid Creek Watershed (Inventory)
- V. Concerns Within the Watershed
- VI. Preliminary Goals
- VII. Planning Committee Participation
- VIII. Meeting Schedule

Greater Egypt Regional Planning and Development Commission

Tyler Carpenter GIS & Environmental Planning Director

> Ciara Nixon Planner

Noah Scalero Planner



Illinois Environmental Protection Agency



IEPA- 604(b) Program

- Water Quality Management Planning Grant
- Greater Egypt's 604(b) grants include:
 - watershed-based planning
 - coordinating the Volunteer Lake Monitoring Program (VLMP)
 - stormwater management educational materials

Initial Stakeholders Meeting Review November 17, 2020-Virtual

- Staff reviewed Inventory and Assessment/ Watershed-based Planning Components
 - Why is mercury not typically included in watershed plans?
 - "Mercury is found at the bottom of the lake, making it difficult to remove. Therefore, mitigation to the source are often put in place, though the source of mercury in Kinkaid is atmospheric deposition. Fish advisories are in place, mercury is only harmful if ingested."
 - Have there been any HAB outbreaks?
 - "A HAB outbreak was reported in 2018. Kinkaid Conservancy now lowers lake levels and monitors every 2 weeks. No outbreaks have occurred since."

Watershed-based Plan

- Summarizes the overall condition of the watershed
- Provides a framework to restore water quality in impaired waters
- Protects water quality in other waters adversely affected or threatened by point source and non-point source pollution
- Allows for funding of water quality projects through EPA 319 Program



Point Source Pollution

• Domestic WTPs

- Industrial WTPs
- Combined Sewer Overflows
- Sanitary Sewer Overflows
- Mine Discharges
- Landfills



Nonpoint Source Pollution

- Urban Runoff
- Golf Courses
- Crop Production
- Livestock Grazing
- Erosion
- Failing Septic Systems



Why Develop a Watershed-based Plan?

Benefits can include:

- Reduction of pollution on surface and groundwater
- Restoration of water bodies to a healthy state
- Conservation of farmland
- Partnerships and collaboration among stakeholders

- Support of sustainable communities and economic growth
- Prevention and reduction of flooding
- Funding for various management measures
- Ten-year Plans

Collaboration of Stakeholders

- Watershed-based planning is a stakeholder-supported approach to improving and protecting water resources
- Stakeholders can include representatives from local government, conservation groups, and landowners
- The success of a watershed-based plan is dependent on the involvement of the stakeholders



Planning Efforts:

- 1. Identify causes and sources of water pollution and estimate existing pollutant loads.
- 2. Set water quality goals and load reduction targets to achieve those goals, and estimate load reductions expected from recommended management measures.
- 3. Describe the management measures needed to achieve load reduction targets.
- 4. Describe the technical and financial assistance (amount, costs, and sources) and relevant authorities needed to implement the plan.
- 5. Enhance public understanding through outreach measures.

Planning Efforts

6. Provide a schedule for implementing the management measures identified in the plan.

7. Identify interim, measurable milestones for determining whether management measures are being implemented on schedule.

8. Identify interim benchmarks to measure progress in meeting water quality goals and load reduction targets.

9. Describe a monitoring component.

1.) Identify causes and sources of water pollution and estimate existing pollutant loads

Watershed Resource Inventory

- Documentation of existing conditions in the watershed and its sub-watersheds
- Inventory of components such as: Geographic Boundaries, Land Use, and Drainage Assessment
- Field Assessment of erosion and riparian areas



2.) Set Water Quality Goals and Load Reduction Targets

- Achieve water quality standards and total maximum daily loads for specific pollutants
- Base nutrient reduction goals off of the IL Nutrient Loss Reduction Strategy

Identify load reduction targets for specific nutrients/ pollutants

Waterbody	Assessment Unit ID	Size	Causes of Impairment(s)	Sources of Impairment(s)
Kinkaid Lake	IL_RNC	3,475 ac	Mercury	Atmospheric Deposition-Toxics, Source Unknown
Little Kinkaid Creek	IL_NBA	16.9 mi	N/A	N/A
Kinkaid Creek	IL_NB	9.66 mi	N/A	N/A
Kinkaid Creek	IL_NB-01	3.38 mi	N/A	N/A

3.) Describe the management measures needed to achieve load reduction targets

Management measures could include:

- Identify best management practices (BMPs) to achieve water quality objectives
- Identify priority areas and practices



4.) Describe the technical and financial assistance and relevant authorities needed to implement the plan

- IEPA 319 Grants offer a 60 percent cost share
- Multiple other sources

Best Management Practice	Funding Sources	Notes/Cost Share Rates
 Filter strips and riparian buffers Dry dams (WASCBs) Grass waterways Terraces Diversions Wetland creation Blind inlets and tile drainage management Nutrient management Cover crops 	Illinois EPA – 319 program NRCS – EQIP program FSA – CRP program SWCD – CPP program USFWS – Acres for wildlife program IDNR/SWCD – CREP program IDNR – SWG program NRCS – WHIP program IDNR – Special Wildlife Funds Grants	CREP eligible acres must be in the 100-year floodplain and/or have cropped ground with an erodibility index of 8 or greater adjacent to riparian zones; must have cropping history as defined by the USDA. SWG program requires 50% state match and must address goals/species outlined in the State of Illinois Comprehensive Wildlife Plan. NRCS, FSA, and SWCD programs generally provide 60% cost-share, however, some special programs and practices can provide up to 90%. FSA, CREP and some NRCS programs also provide annual rental payments for taking ground out of production.
 Streambank/lake shore stabilization and in- stream grade control or other grade control 	Illinois EPA – 319 Program SWCD – SSRP program NRCS – EQIP program	Illinois EPA 319 offers 60% cost share SSRP offers 75% cost share EQIP offers 60% cost share
Wetland restoration and other habitat practices	Illinois EPA – 319 program NRCS – EQIP program NRCS – WRP program FSA – CRP program USFWS – Landowner Incentive Program IDNR/SWCD – CREP program IDNR – SWG program IDNR – Special Wildlife Funds Grants	WRP program – multiple/stringent eligibility requirements. NRCS, FSA, and SWCD programs provide a minimum of 60% cost-share, however, some special programs and practices can provide up to 90%. FSA, CREP and some NRCS programs also provide annual rental payments for taking ground out of production.
 Livestock/equestrian practices, including fencing, stream crossings, pasture management, watering systems etc. 	Illinois EPA – 319 program NRCS – EQIP program IDNR – Forestry Development Act funding (FLEP)	FLEP is applicable to livestock fencing for woodlands. Livestock management recommendations outlined in this report that includes wetland and/or habitat restoration can be funded by other programs such as the US F&W – Landowner Incentive Program EQIP typically provides 60% cost-share

Nine Elements of a Watershed-based Plan

5.) Enhance Public Understanding Through Outreach Measures

Measures could include:

- Public meetings
- Informational pamphlets regarding watershed planning efforts
- Workshops
 - Stormwater management
 - Agricultural activities





Nine Elements of a Watershed-based Plan

6.) Construct an Implementation Schedule for Measures in the Plan

Schedule should include:

Recommended BMP

- Information and Education components
- Monitoring component

	Pha	ise l	Phase II				Phase III			
Goal	Short-term (2 yr)		Mid-term (3-6 yr)			Long-term (7-10 yr)			r)	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Establish watershed action council	х									
Hold public meetings to gain input	х	х	х							
Hold workshops to inform public on stormwater management		х		х		х		х		
Continue researching funding and technical assistance	х	х	х							
Select site-specific BMPs for preliminary designs	х	х	х							
Submit grant applications based on BMPs in plan		х	х	х	х	х	х	х		
Meet with landowners to review BMPs in plan		х	х	x	х	х				
Implement and execute BMPs			х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х
Monitor progress of implementation				x	х	х	х	х	х	х
Announce success of plan implementation					х	х	х	х	х	x
Evaluate Accomplishments					х	х	х	х	х	х

7.) Identify Milestones to Determine if Management Measure are Being Implemented on Schedule

Interim Measurable Milestones								
Goal	Indicator	Short (2-year)	Mid (6-yr)	Long (10-yr)				
	Educational Brochures for Stormwater Management	500	1000	1500				
	Educational Brochures for Agricultural Management	500	1000	1500				
Outreach and	Electronics Drive	1	2	3				
Education	Number of Litter Cleanup Days	3	6	9				
	Public Meetings Held	4	10	14				
	Agricultural Management Workshops Held	1	3	5				
Reduce/Mitigate	Detention Basin	-	-	1				
Flooding	Infiltration Basins	-	1	1				

8.) Identify Interim Benchmarks to Measure Progress in Meeting Water Quality Goals

Benchmarks should include:

- Load Reduction Targets of:
 - Nitrogen
 - Phosphorus
 - Sediment
 - Other pollutants

	Benchmark Reduction Target									
Benchmark Period	Nitrogen (percent)	Nitrogen (lbs./ yr.)	Phosphorus (percent)	Phosphorus (lbs./yr.)	Sediment (percent)	Sediment (tons/yr.)				
2 Year (Phase I)	-	-	-	-	-	-				
6 Year (Phase II)	7%	13,911	10%	4,147	10%	3,515				
10 Year (Phase III)	15%	29,810	25%	10,368	25%	8,788				

Nine Elements of a Watershed-based Plan

9.) Describe a Monitoring Component

Recommends future assessment activities to be undertaken and can be designed to:

- Better identify potential causes and sources of pollution
- Assess BMP effectiveness
- Track and evaluate the effectiveness of plan implementation

Monitoring Component	Phase I		Phase II			Phase III				
Womtoring component	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Ambient Lakes Monitoring Program	х					x				
Sediment Monitoring	х		x		x		х		x	
Volunteer Lake Monitoring Program	х	x	x	x	x	x	х	х	x	x
Watershed Basin Surveys		x					х			

Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC)

- Identify a hydrologic feature (watershed)
- Six levels of HUC

Name	Level	Digits	Average size (square miles)	Number of HUCs (approximate)	Name	Code (HUC)
Region	1	2	177,560	21	Upper Mississippi	07
Subregion	2	4	16,800	222	Upper Mississippi-Kaskaskia-Meramec	0714
Basin	3	6	10,596	352	Upper Mississippi-Meramec	071401
Subbasin	4	8	700	2,149	Big Muddy	07140106
Watershed	5	10	227	22,000	Kinkaid Creek	0714010611
Subwatershed			Little Kinkaid Creek-Kinkaid Creek	071401061101		
Jupwatersneu	0	12	40	40 160,000 Kinkaid Lake-Kinkaid Cree		071401061102

Kinkaid Creek Watershed

- 41,225 acres, or 64 square miles
- Located in Jackson County
- Two separate HUC 12 watersheds:
 - Little Kinkaid Creek-Kinkaid Creek
 - 15,534
 - Kinkaid Lake-Kinkaid Creek
 - 25,708

- Municipalities include:
 - Ava

Kinkaid Creek Watershed - Planning Area



Kinkaid Creek Watershed - Elevation and Floodplain



Kinkaid Creek Watershed - Wetlands



Kinkaid Creek Watershed - Land Use



Kinkaid Creek Watershed - Agriculture



Kinkaid Creek Watershed - Subwatershed Management Units



Kinkaid Creek Watershed Planning Area - Assessed Waterbodies





Kinkaid Creek Watershed Planning Area - Extent of Erosion



Kinkaid Lake-Central Body Section - Erosion Assessment



Kinkaid Creek Watershed Planning Area - Riparian and Littoral Condition



Kinkaid Creek Watershed Planning Area - Degree of Channelization



Estimated Pollutant Loads

 Spreadsheet Tool For Estimating Pollutant Loads (STEPL)

Source	N Load (lb/yr)	Percent of Total Load	P Load (lb/yr)	Percent of Total Load	Sediment Load (t/yr)	Percent of Total Load
Urban	11,832.86	5.95%	1,820.87	4.39%	271.96	0.77%
Cropland	43,772.39	22.02%	13,645.37	32.90%	9,265.99	26.36%
Pastureland	46,777.47	23.54%	6,789.55	16.37%	3,307.70	9.41%
Forest	7,370.98	3.71%	3,352.98	8.08%	903.57	2.57%
Streambank	34,245.29	17.23%	13,184.43	31.79%	21,405.91	60.89%
Groundwater	54,740.79	27.54%	2,681.41	6.47%	0.00	0.00%
Total	198,739.78	-	41,474.60	-	35,155.12	-

Kinkaid Creek Watershed Planning Area - Phosphorous Load Analysis



Kinkaid Creek Watershed Planning Area - Nitrogen Load Analysis



Kinkaid Creek Watershed Planning Area - Sediment Load Analysis



Set Water Quality Goals and Load Reduction Targets

- Achieve water quality standards and total maximum daily loads for specific pollutants
 - Identify load reduction targets for specific nutrients/ pollutants
 - Phosphorus
 - Nitrogen
 - Sediment

Waterbody	Assessment Unit ID	Size	Causes of Impairment(s)	Sources of Impairment(s)
Kinkaid Lake	IL_RNC	3,475 ac	Mercury	Atmospheric Deposition-Toxics, Source Unknown

• Base nutrient reduction goals off of the IL Nutrient Loss Reduction Strategy

IL Nutrient Loss Reduction Strategy (ILNLRS)

- Collaborative effort between IEPA, IL Dept. of Agriculture, and the IL NLRS Policy Working Group and subcommittees
- Develop strategies and promote best management practices (BMP) for nutrient runoff
- Goals include: 25% reduction in phosphorus load (2025)

15% reduction in nitrate-nitrogen load (2025)

Eventual goal is 45% for both nutrients

Load Reduction Targets

Subwatershed	Nitrogen (percent of total)	Nitrogen Load Reduction Target	Phosphorus (percent of total)	Phosphorus Load Reduction Target	Sediment (percent of total)	Sediment Load Reduction Target
Kinkaid Creek	15%	29,810.97	25%	10,368.65	25%	8,788.78
	S	Subwatershed Loa	d Reduction Targe	ets		
Little Kinkaid Creek- Kinkaid Creek	44.05%	13,132.49	40.04%	4,151.15	37.48%	3,294.01
Kinkaid Lake- Kinkaid Creek	55.95%	16,678.48	59.96%	6,217.51	62.52%	5,494.77
Total	-	29,811.0	-	10,368.7	-	8,788.8

Concerns Within the Watershed

EPA 303d List of Impaired Waters

- Kinkaid Lake
 - Mercury
 - Erosion

Other Waterbodies

- Kinkaid Creek
- Little Kinkaid Creek
- Johnson Creek
- Spring Creek

Waterbody	Assessment Unit ID	Causes of Impairment(s)	Sources of Impairment(s)
Kinkaid Lake	IL_RNC	Mercury	Atmospheric Deposition-Toxics, Source Unknown

Kinkaid Creek Watershed TMDL Report (2008)

• Collaboration between agencies and citizens

• Goals focused on:

- Water quality of impaired waterbodies
- Identifying potential sources of pollutants
- Determining loading capacity of pollutants
- Reducing exceeding maximum daily loads
- Solutions proposed were various TMDL approaches
 - Reduce the amount of sediment
 - Stabilize eroded shoreline
 - Control invasive exotic plant species
 - Remove accumulated sediment

Preliminary Goals

- Erosion along streambank/shoreline
- Address 303(d) Impairments:
 - Mercury
- Address runoff from urban/agricultural areas

- Encourage BMP for agricultural areas
- Increase public involvement

• Other goals?

Needs from the Planning Committee

- Attend future planning meetings
- Propose Best Management Practices (BMP)
- Give knowledge of watershed issues and concerns
- Guidance on education/outreach component of plan
- Technical support (if available)
- Review work of the Kinkaid Creek Watershed-based Plan

Meeting Schedule

MEETING 1	Introduction of Plan Elements and Watershed Inventory	February 18, 2021
MEETING 2	Best Management Practices and Remaining Plan Elements	March, 2021
MEETING 3	Prioritization of Best Management Practices	May, 2021
MEETING 4	Draft Plan Review	June, 2021
	Final Draft DUE	August 1, 2021

Questions/Comments

Tyler Carpenter Greater Egypt 618-997-9351 tylercarpenter@greateregypt.org

